

THE PART AND THE P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,238	09/17/2003	Yan C. Huang	023439	6990
1726 7590 06/27/2007 INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY			EXAMINER	
	GE BOULEVARD		FORTUNA, JOSE A	
LOVELAND, OH 45140			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			. 06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,238	HUANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	José A. Fortuna	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	/ 10 0 = = = 0 = 1 × 1 = 1 × 1 = 1 × 1					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	lay 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	,— ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5 and 7-23</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5 and 7-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)		(770.440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/667,238

Art Unit: 1731

2.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2, 5, 7-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite as to the amounts of the compounds in the paper. The

metes and bounds of patent protection cannot be ascertained, because the preamble

indicates a paper containing certain additives, however the in body of the claim only the

upper range is claimed and therefore, the lower range could be zero, which contradicts

the preamble. Note that the preamble is given very little weight. Note that any paper

would read over the claims. However for the purpose of this rejection, any surface sized

paper containing starch would read over the claims, since the lower range is zero for the

boron containing additives.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/667,238

Art Unit: 1731

4. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mowry, US Patent No. 3,644,167 or Johnstone et al., US Patent No. 4,853,085.

Both, Mowry and Johnstone et al., teach a paper surface sized with a sizing agent containing starch and without a boron-containing compound, which read in the claims since the lower limit of the boron compound is zero. Both teach the calendering of the paper and teach the addition amount of the starch in the same range as claimed, see examples. Note that the limitations of the type of boron compounds used are *non sequitur*, since the rejection is based in the non-use of such compounds.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,238

Art Unit: 1731

7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

8. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al., US Patent No. 3,112,214.

Roberts et al. teach a paper/paperboard which is surface sized with a mixture of a boron containing compound, e.g., boric acid, Borax, etc., and a modified starch, see column 1, lines 11-33; column 2, lines 9-16 and column 3, lines 14-25. Even though Roberts et al. teach the concentration of boron and starch containing substance to be added to the paper, they do not explicitly teach the amount or the ratio of starch to Boron containing substance in the paper. However, the ratio of the compounds is a result effective variable that can be optimized to desired value and obvious absent a showing of unexpected results. It has been held that "[T]he discovery of an optimum value of a result effective variable in a known process is ordinarily within the skill of the art. In re Antoine, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977); In re Aller, 42 CCPA 824, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (1995).

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure in the art of "Papers having a mixture of a Boron containing compounds and a Starch."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A. Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731